

Maximal abelian subalgebra containing a self-adjoint element

`MathlibAnnex.Analysis.CStarAlgebra.exists_maximalAbelian_containing_isSelfAdjoint`

Places a self-adjoint element inside a maximal abelian unital star subalgebra.

Statement

Let x be self-adjoint in a unital complex C^* -algebra A . There exists a maximal abelian unital star subalgebra D of A containing x .

Assumptions

Let x be self-adjoint in a unital complex C^* -algebra A .

Conclusion

There exists a maximal abelian unital star subalgebra D of A containing x .

Proof idea

The source turns self-adjointness into `IsStarNormal x` and applies `exists_maximalAbelian_containing`. Normality is an intermediate premise, not the theorem's conclusion.

Proof steps

1. Exact Lean statement: $\forall \{A : \text{Type } u\} [\text{inst} : \text{CStarAlgebra } A] (x : A), \text{IsSelfAdjoint } x \rightarrow \exists D, \text{MathlibAnnex.Analysis.CStarAlgebra.IsMaximalAbelian } D \wedge x \in D$
2. `IsSelfAdjoint x  $\rightarrow$   $\exists D : \text{StarSubalgebra } \mathbb{C} A, \text{IsMaximalAbelian } D \wedge x \in D$ .`
3. The source turns self-adjointness into `IsStarNormal x` and applies `exists_maximalAbelian_containing`. Normality is an intermediate premise, not the theorem's conclusion.

Main citations

`MathlibAnnex.Analysis.CStarAlgebra.IsMaximalAbelian`

Exact formal dependency; inspect the linked Card and exact source.

Lean source signature (exact)

```
theorem exists_maximalAbelian_containing_isSelfAdjoint
(x : A) (hx : IsSelfAdjoint x) :
   $\exists D : \text{StarSubalgebra } \mathbb{C} A, \text{IsMaximalAbelian } D \wedge x \in D$ 
```

Read exact `MathlibAnnex v0.4.0` source

Exact Card identity

Stable Card ID: `d4a5a6c0e99f5d6af2aa8ac954e42542f163c769257b313f68d69c5f1c0993e5`

Card SHA-256: `3b716c28ce2e856618761c6501f718c76cf15bc712d79bcac94e6f1d09befae4`

Approved exposition SHA-256: `8db4b0319fc9796edff8d40938630d9078cbcdafc89af56217b9f8ab24bbda1e`

AI-assisted material. Selected, edited, and reviewed by Ryotaro Tanaka. The recorded review is source–exposition correspondence, not an independent proof audit.